Test of hypothesis Thapter 2 Samples (= => for paired data (|0Y Dependent Samples

 d_3

2

Sample

 $(1) \overline{d} = \frac{\sum d}{n}$ $(2) S_{d}^{2} = \frac{\sum d^{2}}{n-1} - \frac{(\sum d)^{2}}{n(n-1)}$ $\mu \Rightarrow d \pm E$ (3) for paired

Jata

 $E = t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} * \frac{Sd}{\sqrt{n}}$ $test stat = t = \overline{d-Hi}$

Example	Construct	ac	15%	Confie	dence	inferval
for the a	difference	bet	ween	SBP	befo	ve and
after usin	ng af (yral	Confra	ception	ns in	a sample
8 10 w	omen us	ing ()	C_{s} , C_{s}	given .	the f	ollowing
dafa:	<i>i</i> while r	SBP level ot using OCs (<i>x_{i1}</i>)	while	SBP level using OCs (x_{i2})	d_i^{\star}	
•	1 2	115 112		128 115	13 3	
	3	119		128	-1 9	,
	5 6	138		145	7 7	
	8	105		132	4	
	9 10	115		117	-2 2	
	$*d_i = x_{i2} - x_{i1}$				ā= 4.8	
CT = 0.95	$\mu =$	d±	E		$S^2 = \frac{\Sigma d}{n-1}$	$\frac{2}{2} - \frac{(zd)^2}{(zd)^2}$
	1.0.1				= 20	n(n-1) Ruu
n=10	E= tu	¥ S			20.	
		Î Jr	-		5 = 4.5	66
	= 2.	262 *	<u>4.566</u> JIO	- = 3.3	266	
)	- X =	0.95			J.F=9
		$\frac{X}{2} = 0$	025	0.02 1 <u>11</u> - 2	· 262	2.262
$E_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \pm$	2.262					

 $\left(\overline{d} - E, \overline{d} + E\right)$ (u.8-3.266, u.8+3.266)



Example: the sleep hours of 5 patients before and after taking a medication are given by the following table:

	1	2	3	4	5
Before	6	5	7	4	5
After	9	4	9	7	6
d	3	-1	2	3	1 52=8
λ^2	q	1	И	9	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2n$

1) Construct 95% Confidence interval for
the mean difference.

$$GI = 0.95$$
 $Mg \neq d \pm E$ $S^2 = \frac{Sd^2}{n-1} - \frac{(Sd)^2}{n(n-1)}$
 $n = 5$ $E = t_{\frac{N}{2}} \times \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}$ $S = 1.67$
 $E = 2.776 \times \frac{1.67}{\sqrt{5}} = 2.07$ $S = 1.67$
 $1 - \alpha = 0.95$
 $\alpha = 0.05$ $\alpha = 0.025$ 0.975 0.025
 $q = 2.776$

$$t_{q} = \pm 2.776$$

$$(\overline{d} - E, \overline{d} + E)$$

$$(1.6 - 2.07, 1.6 + 2.07)$$
2) Can you conclud that the drug
is effective in increasing the sleep hours
(use $\alpha = 0.01$)
Ho: $M_{g} \leq 0$ Vs. Hi: $M_{g} > 0$

$$\frac{test}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= \frac{1.6 - 0}{1.67/\sqrt{5}} = 2.10$$

$$0.99$$

$$0.99$$

$$0.90$$

Gynecology A topic of recent clinical interest is the effect of different contraceptive methods on fertility. Suppose we wish to compare how long it takes users of either OCs or diaphragms to become pregnant after stopping contraception. A study group of 20 OC users is formed, and diaphragm users who match each OC user with regard to age (within 5 years), race, parity (number of previous pregnancies), and socioeconomic status (SES) are found. The investigators compute the differences in time to fertility between previous OC and diaphragm users and find that the mean difference \overline{d} (OC minus diaphragm) in time to fertility is 4 months with a standard deviation (s_d) of 8 months. What can we conclude from these data?

n=20	$H_0: \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0 \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{S}}.$	$H_i: M_d \neq 0$
d=4	test stat	
5d=8	$t = \frac{u - 0}{8/10} = 2.2u$	$\alpha = 0.05$ $\frac{\alpha}{2} = 0.025$ $d \cdot f = 19$
Q = 0.09	0/120	111 0.975 0.025 1111
		-2.093 2.093

os we regeet the and accept H.

Independent Samples

1) Confidence interval $(\mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{H}_2) \supseteq (\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \pm E$

CI for mean
(2 Samples)

$$\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$$
 known
 $\overline{C_{1}, \sigma_{2}}$ known
 $\overline{C_{1}^{2} = \sigma_{2}^{-3}}$
 $\overline{C_{1}^{2} = \sigma_{2}^{-3}}$

NOTE degree § freedom

$$d \cdot f = n + m - 2$$

$$test \quad g \quad Hy pothexis$$

$$(2 \text{ Samples})$$

$$z = (\overline{x} \cdot \overline{x}_{2}) - (\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{2})$$

$$\int \frac{\overline{\sigma_{1}}^{2}}{n} + \frac{\overline{\sigma_{2}}^{2}}{m}$$

$$t = \frac{(\overline{x} \cdot \overline{x}_{2}) - (\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{2})}{Sp * \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} + \frac{1}{m}}$$

$$Sp = \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S_{1}^{2} + (m-1)S_{1}^{2}}{n + m-2}}$$

(Example) suppose a sample of eight (35-39) year old nonpregnants, premenopausal OC users who work in a company have a mean SBP & 132.86 mmHg and Sample standard deviation of 15.34 mmHg are identified. A sample J 21 non-pregnant, premenopausal non-OC users in the same age group are similarly identified who have mean SBP of 127.44 mm Hg and a sample standard deviation of 18.23 mmHg. test the hypothesis that they have different population mean assuming SBP is normally

distributed between 2 groups and Both
have the same population variance
$$\bar{X}_1:132.86|$$
 [Ho: $\mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{H}_2 = 0$ Vs. $H_1: \mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{H}_2 \neq 0$
 $S_1 = 15.3u|$
 $H_1 = 8$
 $\bar{X}_2 = 127.with t = \frac{(122.86 - 127.with) - (24 - 24.2)}{87 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}} + \frac{1}{21}} = 0.741$
 $S_1 = 821$ $t = \frac{(122.86 - 127.with) - (24 - 24.2)}{87 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}} + \frac{1}{21}} = 0.741$
 $S_1 = 821$ $t = \frac{(122.86 - 127.with) - (24 - 24.2)}{87 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}} + \frac{1}{21}} = 0.741$
 $S_1 = 821$ $t = \frac{(123.86 - 127.with) - (24 - 24.2)}{87 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}} + \frac{1}{21}} = 0.721$
 $S_1 = \frac{(12.86 - 127.with) - (24 - 24.2)}{87 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}} + \frac{1}{21}} = 0.721$
 $S_2 = 0.025$
 $S_1 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_1 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_1 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_1 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_1 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_1 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 + 21 + 2} = 0.025$
 $S_2 = \frac{(12.34)^2 + (21 - 1) \times 18.25^2}{8 +$

 $(\mathcal{U}_1 - \mathcal{H}_2) \Rightarrow (\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2) \pm E$ $E = t_{x} * Sp* \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} + \frac{1}{m}$ = 2.052 × 17.527 × $\sqrt{\frac{1}{8}}$ + $\frac{1}{21}$ = 14.942((132.86-127.uu) - 14.942, (132.86-127.uu) + 14.942)(-9.52,20.36)

				(
Example	To test	wheth	er ma	les and	Females
IQTdif	for, we	selecte	ed a	random	sample
of size	15 From	adult	males	and	another
Sample d	Size 1	6 from	adult	female	s and
Showed	the follow	wing inf	o :		
Sample	Size	mean	۶D		
males	15	105	28		
Females	16	109	20		
Assume and an	the nor equal ~	aviances.	J 2	Popula	fions
H,	$\mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{H}_2$	$= o \sqrt{s}$	H1: 2	$1, -\mu_2$	<i>‡</i> 0
-	test sta	af			
	$E = \frac{105}{105}$	- 109)-	- 0 -	- o. S	56
	SP * ,	$1 + \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{16}$			

$$SP = \sqrt{\frac{(15-1) \times 28^2 + (16-1) \times 2u^2}{15+16-2}} = 26.0079$$



So we accept Ho and Regect H,

(Example) Construct a 95 CI for l1, - l12 with the sample statistics for mean Calorie Content of two bakevies speciality pies as following: $\bar{x}_2 = 388$ Cal X1 = UU8 Coul $S_{1} = 6.1$ Cal $S_2 = 7.8$ Cal $n_{1} = 13$ $n_2 = 7$

$$(\mathcal{U}_{1} - \mathcal{U}_{2}) = (\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \pm E$$

$$E = E_{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \times SP \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}$$

$$= 2.101 \times 6.71 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{7}} = 6.609$$

$$SP = \sqrt{\frac{(13-1) * 6 \cdot 1^2 + (7-1) * 7 \cdot 8^2}{13 + 7 - 7}} = 6.71$$



((148 - 388) - 6.609, (148 - 388) + 6.609)

NOTE

$$E = t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} * SP * \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} + \frac{1}{m}$$

$$SE = SP \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}$$





(1) The normal method
$$\binom{nPq_{2}>5}{mPq_{2}>5}$$

Ho: $P_{1} = P_{2}$ Vs. Hi: $P_{1} \neq P_{2}$

$$\frac{test stat}{\sqrt{P^{*}q^{*}(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})}}$$

$$P^{*}: pooled Proportion = \frac{X+Y}{n+m}$$

$$\frac{X: n + \hat{P}_{1}}{\sqrt{P^{*}q^{*}(\frac{1}{n} - \hat{P}_{2})} - (\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2m})}$$

$$\frac{q^{*} = 1 - p^{*}}{\sqrt{P^{*}q^{*}(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})}}$$

Example) Two types of medication for hives are being tested to determine if there is a difference in the proportions of adult patient Reactions. Tuenty out of a vandom sample of 200 adults given medication "A" still had hive 30 minutes affer taking the medication. Twelve out of another Random Sample of 200 adults given medication "B" still had hives 30 mins after taking the medication. Test using 1%. Significance level when: $\alpha = 0.01$ 1) no Confinuity Correction applied $H_0 \cdot P_1 = P_2 \qquad \forall s. \quad H_1 \cdot P_1 \neq P_2$ Pi= A $=\frac{20}{200}$ test stat $P^{*} = \frac{X+g}{N+m}$ $\hat{P}_2 = \frac{\forall}{m} \left[\vec{z} = \frac{(\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2) - 0}{(\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2) - 0} \right]$ $= \frac{20 + 12}{200 + 200}$ = 0.08

$$= 0.06 = \frac{0.1 - 0.06}{\sqrt{0.08 \times 0.92 \times (\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{200})}} = 1.47$$

$$\frac{0.00}{\sqrt{2} = 0.005} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{1111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{1111}}$$

$$\frac{0.00}{\sqrt{2} = 0.005} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{1111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{11111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{111111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{111111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{111111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{111111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{11111111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{11111111}} = \frac{0.00}{\sqrt{11111111}} = \frac{0$$

$$H_{o}: P_{1} = P_{2} \quad \forall s. \quad H_{1}: P_{1} \neq P_{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{e_{sh}}{e_{sol}} \frac{s_{h}}{s_{h}} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{\hat{p}}{\hat{p}} - \frac{\hat{p}}{\hat{p}} - \frac{\hat{p}}{\hat{p}} - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2m}}{\sqrt{p^{*}q^{*}(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})}}$$

$$= \frac{10.0026 - 0.00071 - (\frac{1}{2\pi 5000} + \frac{1}{2\pi 10000})}{\sqrt{0.0033 \pm 0.99867}(\frac{1}{5000} \pm \frac{1}{10000})}$$

= 2.77

$$\hat{P}_{1} = \frac{13}{5000} = 0.0026 , \quad \hat{P}_{2} = \frac{7}{1000} = 0.007$$

$$P^{*} = \frac{X + Y}{n + m} = \frac{13 + 7}{5000 + 10000} = 0.00133$$

$$d = 0.05$$

$$\frac{q}{2} = 0.025$$

$$\frac{111}{-1.96}$$

$$\frac{1.96}{1.96}$$
8 we Reject Ho and accept Hi

0.0028<u>1111</u> -2.77 2.772.77

Guidelines for Judging the Significance of a *p*-Value

If $.01 \le p < .05$, then the results are *significant*. If $.001 \le p < .01$, then the results are *highly significant*. If p < .001, then the results are *very highly significant*. If p > .05, then the results are considered *not statistically significant* (sometimes denoted by NS). However, if .05 , then a trend toward statistical significance is sometimes noted.

Example	Police office	ers in new york City Can
stop a	driver who	is not wearing their seaf
belt. In	Boston, police	fficers can issue citations
to drive	er for not c	wearing their seat belts only
if the d	lriver has be	en stopped for another violation
Data	from Random	Samples of femal in 2002
is Sum	marized as	the following:
C:łj	Drivers	wearing seaf belts
Boston	117	68
New York	220	\ 83
IS the	ere Compelli	ng evidence to conclude
a diffe	evence in R	ate of Arivers wear their
Sealbelt	s in Boston	as compared to new york?

Assume Continuity Correction is applied, use d=0.05)

$$H_{0} \cdot P_{1} = P_{2} \quad V_{S} \quad H_{1} \cdot P_{1} \neq P_{2}$$

$$\frac{Ee4}{2} \frac{54a4}{4}$$

$$E_{corr} = \frac{|\hat{P}_{1} - \hat{P}_{2}| - (\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2m})}{\sqrt{P^{*} 2^{*} (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})}}$$

$$= \frac{|\circ.58 - \circ.83| - (\frac{1}{2* 112} + \frac{1}{2* 220})}{\sqrt{\circ.74} \times 0.26 \times (\frac{1}{117} + \frac{1}{220})}$$

$$= 4 \cdot 8S$$

$$\hat{P}_{1} = \frac{68}{117} = 0.58 \quad , \quad \hat{P}_{2} = \frac{183}{220} = 0.83$$

 $P^* = \frac{X+Y}{n+m} = \frac{68+183}{117+220} = 0.74$



so we Reject Ho and accept Hi



Expected table

	Rt-hand	Lt-hand	total
Males	<u>52*87</u> = U5.2u Joo E ₁₁	$\frac{52 * 13}{100} = 6.76$	52
Femalo	$\frac{48 \times 87}{100} = 41.76$	$\frac{48 \times 13}{100} = 6.24$	48
fotal	87	13	100

NOTE
$$E = \frac{R * C}{grand total}$$

 $H_0: P_1 = P_2$ V_s . $H_i: P_1 \neq P_2$

Lest stat

& Chi-Squared ⇒ Skewed to the Right All values are positive ⇒ => degree of freedom $d \cdot f = (R - 1)(C - 1)$ NOTE Always d.f in 2×2 Confingency

table is equal to () * General notes P • Always the test failed test is Right 2 Lest statisfics $\mathcal{K}^2 = \sum \frac{(o-E)^2}{F}$ $= \frac{(O_{11} - E_{11})^{2}}{E} + \frac{(O_{12} - E_{12})^{2}}{E} + \frac{(O_{21} - E_{21})^{2}}{E} + \frac{(O_{22} - E_{22})^{2}}{E}$ $\chi^{2} = \sum \left(\frac{\left| 0 - E \right| - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2}}{E}$ (3) Always the Expected values

are	move	than	(5)			
Exam	ple) The	follow	ing table	lisfs	Realts	
From an experiment designed to test the ability of dogs to use their extraordinary same of smell to defect malaria in samples of						
Childre	m's sock	rs. The	2 accompa	ying in	formatio	
Shows the following:						
	Malaria was	presenf	Malavia wasn't	presenf	fotal	
Dog was Corvect	123		131		254	
Dog was wrong	52		12		66	
Total	175		IUS		320	

Idenfify the test statistics and

the P-value, and then state the
Conclusion about the null hypothesis.

$$\frac{1}{2} = \sum \frac{(0-E)^2}{E}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{254 \times 175}{320}$$

$$\frac{254 \times 175}{320}$$

$$\frac{254 \times 175}{320}$$

$$\frac{1}{320} \frac{66 \times 175}{320}$$

$$\frac{66 \times 105}{320}$$

$$\frac{1}{320} \frac{264 \times 175}{320}$$

$$\frac{1}{320} \frac{1}{320} \frac{1}{320$$



$\chi^2 = Covr$	(123 - 1 13	$38.91\left -\frac{1}{2}\right ^{2}($	131 - 11S 11S.	$.09(-\frac{1}{2})^{2}$		
	(152-	36.09 - ½) ² + 56.09	(114-29.91 29.91	$(-\frac{1}{2})^2$		
	= 19.5	59				
Example	e) Suppos	se we want	to know	, if the		
Rate J	Rate of smoking in males is different from					
Females	in a g	sample of s	203 Jon	Idanian		
the ob	served	volues sef	as the	following:		
	Smoker	Non Smoker	fotal	(USE 0=0.00)		
Males	72	<i>uu</i>	116			
Females	31	53	87	-		
fotal	106	97	203	-		

$$\frac{\text{Smoker}}{\text{Nales}} \frac{\text{Non Smoker}}{60.57} \frac{\text{formalies}}{55.03} \frac{116}{116}$$

$$\frac{\text{Fermalies}}{106} \frac{\text{us.us}}{106} \frac{11.57}{97} \frac{116}{97}$$

$$\frac{106}{77} \frac{106}{203} \frac{106}{77} \frac{106}{203}$$

$$\frac{\chi^2}{\text{Corr}} = \sum \frac{\left(\left|0-E\right| - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{E}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\left|72-60.57\right| - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{60.57} + \frac{\left(\left|100-55.03\right| - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{55.03}$$

$$+ \frac{\left(\left|30-05.03\right| - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{100} + \frac{\left(\left|53-01.57\right| - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{100}$$

US .U3

41.57

= 9.63

so we and R	accept Ho Regect Hi	0.999	d.f=1 0.001 111111 10.83 Crifical value
Example) Compute	the expect	fed table
for the	breast Canc	er dafa	Shown in the
following	fable:		
	7,30	< 29	
Casl	683	2537	3220
Control	1498	8747	10245
	2181	11284	13 4 65

6	orded			
	XVC	71 30	< 29	
	Casl	521.6	2698.4	3220
_	Control	1659.4	8585.6	10245
_		2181	11284	13 U 6S

 $\chi^2 = 77.89$

so we Reject Ho and accept H, P-value=0.00] d.f=1 =7 highly significant 0.999 0.001 77.89
Example Assess the OC-MI data for Statisfical Significance, using Confingency table approach ?

2×2 contingency table for the OC–MI data in Example 10.6

	MI incidence		
OC-use group	Yes	No	Total
Current OC users	13	4987	5000
Never-OC users	7	9993	10,000
Total	20	14,980	15,000



2×2 contingency table for the OC–MI data in Example 10.6

	MI incident		
OC-use group	Yes	No	Total
Current OC users	6.7	u993.3	500
Never-OC users	13.3	9986.7	10,00
Total	20	14,980	15,00

 $\mathcal{K}_{Corr}^{2} = \frac{\left(\left|13-6.7\right|-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{6.7} + \frac{\left(\left|4987-49933\right|-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{4993.3}$

$$+ \frac{(|7 - 13.3| - \frac{1}{2})^2}{13.3} + \frac{(|9993 - 9986.7| - \frac{1}{2})^2}{9986.7}$$



Guidelines for Judging the Significance of a *p*-Value

- If $.01 \le p < .05$, then the results are *significant*.

If $0.01 \le p < 0.05$, then the results are significant. If p < .001, then the results are highly significant. If p < .001, then the results are considered *not statistically significant* (sometimes $p \neq 0.05$, then the bala at considered not statistical significance is sometimes denoted by NS). However, if .05 < p < .10, then a trend toward statistical significance is sometimes noted.

0.001 -0.005 -0.01

=> highly significant



NOTES

(1) The purpose of Confingency table is to Summarize a large set of data

2)
$$\chi^2_{\text{Covv}}$$
 is called Yates-covrected chi
Squared.



table is calculated as the following: (R-1)*(C-1)(4) The Conditions of the table: A No cell has an exepected <1 B) No more than 1 of the cells have expected value less than 5 (Example) Assess the Statisfical Significance in 300 persons, giving the following:

Tab	le	of	Observed	Va	lues
-----	----	----	----------	----	------

Qualification / Marital Status	Middle School	High School	Bachelor's	Master's	Ph.D	Total
Never married	18	36	21	9	6	90
Married	12	36	45	36	21	150
Divorced	6	9	9	3	3	30
Widowed	3	9	9	6	3	30
Total	39	90	84	54	33	300

Ho: Marital status independent from gualification

11

Hı: // //

dependent "

Qualification / Marital Status	Middle School	High School	Bachelor's	Master's	Ph.D
Never Married	$\frac{90 \times 39}{300} = 11.7$	$\frac{90 \times 90}{300} = 27$	25.2	16.2	9.9
Married	19.5	45	42	27	16.5
Divorced	3.9	9	8.4	5.4	3.3
Widowed	3.9	9	8.4	5.4	3.3

Test stat $\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(0-E)^{2}}{E}$



$$= 23.57$$

$$d.f = (u-1)(5-1)$$

$$= 3 * 4$$

$$= 12$$



so we Reject the and accept Hi Example) Assess the statisfical significance the data between 2 variables, the age Y I first birth and the prevelance of

breast cancer.

TABLE 10.16 Data from the international study in Example 10.4 investigating the possible association between age at first birth and case-control status

		Age at first birth					
Case-control status	<20	20-24	25-29	30-34	≥35	Total	
Case	320	1206	1011	463	220	3220	
Control	1422	4432	2893	1092	406	10,245	
Total	1742	5638	3904	1555	626	13,465	
% cases	.184	.214	.259	.298	.351	.239	

+ (406-476.3

Source: Based on WHO Bulletin, 43, 209-221, 1970.

Stat

 $\mathcal{L}^2 = \sum_{E} \frac{(o-E)^2}{E}$

 $=(320 - M16.6)^2$ 416.6



(Example) Défermine to the 51. Significance level whether School and grade are

Se	pend	lent	•
0			

			Grade		
		A	B	С	Totals
School	X	18	12	20	50
	Y	26	12	32	70
Totals		44	24	52	120

Ho: School is independent from

the Grade

Hi: School is dependent on grade Expedial

			LAPON	20	_	
			Grade			
		A	В	С	Totals	
School	X	$\frac{50 \times 44}{120} = 18.33$	$\frac{50 \times 24}{120} = 10$	$\frac{50 \times 52}{120} = 21.67$	50	
	Y	$\frac{70 \times 44}{120} = 25.67$	$\frac{70 \times 24}{120} = 14$	$\frac{70 \times 52}{120} = 30.33$	70	
Totals		44	24	52	120	

 $\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(0-E)^2}{E}$

 $= \frac{(18 - 18 \cdot 33)^2}{18 \cdot 33} + \frac{(12 - 10)^2}{10} + \frac{(20 - 21 \cdot 67)^2}{21 \cdot 67}$



& we accept Ho and reject Hi



* Goodness of fit test (Chi - Squared)

ے کر ختبا کی حسبہ کر لما بغ

=> Approximation of discrete Randon Javiable to Confinous Random variable $(D) \longrightarrow (f)$ $\Rightarrow P(X < 16) [Discrete]$ (_مين) P(X ≤ I5) (= ⇒ P(X ≤ 15.5) [Continuity correction NOTE $() P(X \leq a) \Rightarrow P(X \leq a + o.s)$ $(2) P(X \ge a) \Rightarrow P(X \ge a - o.S)$ $(3) P(a \le x \le b) \Rightarrow P(a \cdot a \cdot s \le b + o \cdot s)$

Fxamples) () P(X > 18)Discrete = P(X ≥ 19) = P(X > 18.5)(2) P(18 < X < 26) $= P(19 \leq X \leq 2S)$ $= P(18.5 \leq X \leq 25.5)$ $(3) P(18 \le \times < 26)$ $= P(18 \leq X \leq 2S)$ $= P(17.5 \le X \le 25.5)$

(4) $P(18 < X \leq 25)$ $= P(19 \le X \le 2S)$ $= P(18.5 \le X \le 25.5)$ Example) If the $\mathcal{M} = 20$, $\sigma^2 = 16$ find: $\bigcirc P(X < 26)$ $= P(X \leq 2S) \implies P(X \leq 2S.S)$ $\Rightarrow P(Z \leq \frac{2S.S - 20}{2S})$ $= P(Z \le 1.38) = 0.9162$ $(2) p(18 < X \leq 26)$ $= P(19 \le x \le 26) \Rightarrow P(18.5 \le x \le 26.5)$

 $= P(X \le 26.S) - P(X \le 18.S)$ $= P(Z \leq \frac{26.S - 20}{11}) - P(Z \leq \frac{18.S - 20}{11})$;

EXAMPLE 10.46

Hypertension Diastolic blood-pressure measurements were collected at home in a community-wide screening program of 14,736 adults ages 30–69 in East Boston, Massachusetts, as part of a nationwide study to detect and treat hypertensive people [6]. The people in the study were each screened in the home, with two measurements taken during one visit. A frequency distribution of the mean diastolic blood pressure is given in Table 10.20 in 10-mm Hg intervals.

We would like to assume these measurements came from an underlying normal distribution because standard methods of statistical inference could then be applied on these data as presented in this text. How can the validity of this assumption be tested?

TABLE 10.20Frequency distribution of mean diastolic blood pressure for adults 30–69 years old in
a community-wide screening program in East Boston, Massachusetts

Group (mm Hg)	Observed frequency	Expected frequency	Group	Observed frequency	Expected frequency
<50	57	69.0	≥80, <90	4604	4538.6
≥50, <60	330	502.5	≥90, <100	2119	2545.9
≥60, <70	2132	2018.4	≥100, <110	659	740.4
≥70, <80	4584	4200.9	≥110	251	120.2
			Total	14,736	14,736

V= 80. 68

$$= P(x < 50)$$

$$= P(x \le uq)$$

$$= P(x \le uq.S) \Rightarrow P(z \le uq.S - 80.68)$$

$$= P(x \le uq.S) \Rightarrow P(z \le uq.S - 80.68)$$

$$= P(Z \le -2.60)$$

$$= 0.0047$$

$$0.0047 \times 14736 \simeq 69$$

$$\Rightarrow P(50 \le x \le 60)$$

$$= P(50 \le x \le 59)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(49.5 \le x \le 59.5)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(x \le 59.5) - P(x \le 49.5)$$

$$= P(Z \le \frac{59.5 - 80.68}{12}) - P(Z \le \frac{49.5 - 80.68}{12})$$

$$= P(Z \le -1.77) - P(Z \le -2.598)$$

$$= 0.0337 \times 14736 \simeq 502.5$$

lest stat $\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(o-E)^2}{-}$



= 326.2



so we Reject Ho and accept H,

provide an > normal method doesn't adequate fit to the data. NOTES 1) we study the fit of the test to a dafa 2 Expected B Continuity Correction © probability D probability * grand total (3) $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(o-E)^2}{E}$ 4) D.f= g- k-1

Ex	ample	The	mea	n wei	ghts	J	0	Sample
ð	200	patien	nfs	is	52	K G	S	and
the	stanc	lard a	Jevia	rfion	is	3	K(Gs.
1			I		1			
weight	พ < 45	45 <u>-</u> ~~ < 5	0 50	<u><</u> w< 55	55	ふくく	60	w >, 60
frequency	12	UU		82		53		9
ا س	ve u	rould	like	to	ass	ume	 Tha	ef these
mea	surments	Cam	e fr	rom th	e	norma	l	distribution
How	Can	the	vali	dit g	ð	this	a	Sumption
be	tested	2.2		\bigcirc				

weight	X yu < U5	४ ४ऽ_<ज < 50	50 ≤ W< 55	55 < w < 60	w >, 60
frequency	12	UU	82	53	9
Expecto	1.24	39.42	118.7	39.42	1.24

 $= P(X \leq UY)$ $= P(X \leq uu.s) \Rightarrow P(Z \leq uu.s-52)$ $= P(\overline{2} \leq -2.5)$ = 0.0062

p(x<us)

 $0.0062 \times 200 = 1.24$

 $\exists P(US \leq X < 50)$ $\Rightarrow P(U5 \leq X \leq U9)$ $\Rightarrow P(uu.S \leq X \leq uq.S)$ $= P(X \leq UQ.S) - P(X \leq UU.S)$ $= P(Z \le \frac{uq.5 - 52}{3}) - P(Z \le \frac{uu.5 - 52}{3})$ $= P(Z \leq -0.83) - P(Z \leq -2.5)$ = 0.2033 - 0.0062= 0.1971 $0.1971 \times 200 = 39.42$

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$



= 158.49



So we Reject to and accept H,

chapter Regression and Correlation 11 Method ⇒ for guanfitative data ∉ 1) Scaffer (2) Correlation Coefficient plot 3) Hypothesis (4) Confidence inferval Jesting * Correlation \Leftarrow (علدقة) J numerical Graph:cal بالأرقام *ی*سومان

D Graphical (Correlation) "Scatter plot"

TABLE 11.1

Sample data from the Greene-Touchstone study relating birthweight and estriol level in pregnant women near term



Source: Based on the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 85(1), 1-9, 1963.





Negative
Relationship
NO
Relationship
NO
Relationship
NOTE
$$P = 1$$
 [perfect positive
Relation ship]
 $P = -1$ [perfect negative
Relation ship]
(2) Numerical Method
+ Covariance
 $Cov(x,y) = E((x-A_x)(y-M_y))$





X = KGy= mmHg $E\left((X-\mathcal{H}_{X})(Y-\mathcal{H}_{Y})\right)$

* Correlation Coefficient P: population Correlation Coefficient $\int = \frac{Cov(x,y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$ Y: Sample Correlation Coefficient 11 pearson's Correlation Coefficient" $Y = \frac{L \times y}{\sqrt{L \times x L y}}$ $\int_{-\infty}^{2} \frac{\sum x^{2}}{n-1} - \frac{(\sum x)^{2}}{n(n-1)}$ $L_{XY} = \sum X_{Y} - \frac{\sum x * \sum y}{n}$ $L_{XX} = \sum_{X} X^2 - \frac{(\sum_{X})^2}{n}$

 $L_{yy} = \Sigma y^2 - \frac{(\Sigma y)^2}{\eta}$ NOTES 1) Lxx and Lyy never ever be negative (2) r will be unchanged by a change in the unit of X, y (Example) The Data shown in the table below obtained in a study of age (x) in years and Systolic blood pressure (y) in mmHg for Random Sample J Six patients selected from the emergency of

JUH	in a given day:
Age	Systolic Blood pressure
43	128
u8	120
56	135
61	143
67	141
70	152

Calculate the value of the correlation Coefficient for data? and give a conclusion?

X Age	y SBP	2 X	y²	ХY
43	128	1849	16384	5504
u8	120	2304	14400	5760
56	135	3136	18 225	7560
61	143	3721	30 UN9	8723
67	141	uu 89	(988)	9 4 47
70	152	U900	23104	10 640





80 There is a strong correlation between the age and SBP.

	Exam	Correlation data:				
	X	12	15	18	21	27
Ĺ	y	2	И	6	8	12

3							
	X	12	15	18	21	27	
(y	2	Ч	6	8	12	
>	< ²	JUU	225	32 u	NNI	729	
Č	g2	Ч	16	36	64	JUU	_
X	Y	24	60	108	168	324	
2x=93, 2y=32, Exy=684							
Ex ² = 1863, Ey ² = 264							

 $= \frac{88.8}{\sqrt{133.2 \times 59.2}}$ $\chi = \angle \chi y$ Lxx Lyy $L_{XY} = \sum XY - \frac{\sum X * \sum y}{x}$ $= 670 - \frac{93 \times 32}{5} = 88.8$ $L \times x = \Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2$ $= 1863 - (93)^2 = 133.2$ $L_{y} = \Sigma_{y}^{2} - (\Sigma_{y})^{2}$ $= 264 - \frac{(32)^2}{5} = 59.2$

So perfect positive Relationship (Example) Calculate the Correlation Coefficient of the given data: X5051525354Y3.13.23.33.43.5 Ans. r=) perfect positive Relationship] NOTE $L_{XX} = (n-1) * S_{X}^{2}$ $S_X^2 = \frac{L_{XX}}{n-1} , \quad S_y^2 = \frac{L_{yy}}{n-1}$

 $\int_{XY} = \frac{Lxy}{n-1}$ (Sample Covariance) Sxy (A-1) Sxy Sx * Sy (A-1) Sx* (A-1) Sy A Stafisfical inference for correlation Coefficent Confidence Hypothesis testing inferval


Example Suppose serum cholestrol levels in sponse pairs are measured to determine whether there is a correlation between cholesterol levels in spouses. Specifically, we wish to test: $H_0: \mathcal{P}=0$ V_s . $H_1: \mathcal{P}=0$ Suppose that r=0.897 based on n=6 spouse pairs. Is there enough evidence to warrent Rejecting Ho? $(usl \alpha = 0.0S)$ Ho: $\mathcal{S}=0$ \sqrt{s} . $\{1, : \mathcal{P} \neq 0$ test stat $f = \frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}$

$$= 0.897 * \sqrt{6-2} = 4.056$$

$$\sqrt{1-0.897^{2}}$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$\frac{4}{2} = 0.025$$

$$\frac{0.975}{-2.776} = 0.025$$

Ho: P=0 Vs. Hi: $P \neq 0$ Eest stat $f = \frac{r \sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}} =$ 0.825×113-2 $\sqrt{1 - 0.825^2}$ = U.84 $\alpha = 0.05$ d.f= 11 0.025 0.975 0.025 x = 0.025 111 - 2.20 2.201 80 WC Reject to and accept HI "There is a Correlation between" the hour studies and the grade

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ Ho: $\beta = \beta_0$ Vs. H₁: $\beta \neq \beta_0$ test stat $\mathcal{T} = (Z - Z_0) \sqrt{n - 3}$ Z transformation = $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1+r)}{(1-r)}$ $Z_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1+p)}{(1-p)}$ NOTE "fisher's Z transformation" (Example) Suppose the Body weights of 100 father (X) and first born son(y)

are measured and a sample correlation Coefficient r of 0.38 is found. we might ask whether or not this sample correlation is compatible with an underlying Correlation of 0.5 that might be expected on genefic grounde. Perform a test f Significance, use d=0.05 $H_{0}: \mathcal{P} = 0.5 \quad \forall s. \quad H_{1}: \mathcal{P} \neq 0.5$ test stat $\lambda = (Z - Z_0)\sqrt{N-3}$ $\overline{Z} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1+r)}{(1-r)} = \frac{1}{2} \times \ln \left(\frac{1+0.38}{1-0.38} \right)$ = 0. U

 $Z_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1+p)}{(1-p)} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+0.5}{1-0.5} \right)$

= 0.549

 $\lambda = (Z - Z_0)\sqrt{n-3}$ = (0.4 - 0.549) \sqrt{100-3} = -1.47



7, Jes, r=0.38 is compatible with Correlation of P = 0.5(Example) van compcin is an antibiotic used to treat C. difficile bacteria that cause pseudomembromous colitis A study was done on a sample of 120 patients showed a sample Correlation Coefficient of 0.775 between the dose of vancomycin and the percentage of bacteria in the Colon test whether it suitable to the underlying Correlation of 0.7? $(use \alpha = 0.05)$?

$$\frac{1}{10} + 10 : P = 0.7 \quad \text{Ns.} \quad H_1: P = 0.7$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right) = 1.032$$

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right) = 0.87$$

$$Z = (1.032 - 0.87) * \sqrt{120-3}$$

$$= 1.75$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$\frac{111}{-1.96} = 1.96$$

80 ve	accept	Ho	and	vejecf	Η,
P-value	c = 2×0.04	0)			
	=0.0802	0.0	101		· 0401
		-	- 1.75	1.75	;
NOTE	you	Can	find	the	
fisher's	Z frang	sforma	fion	by th	is
fable:			(

TABLE 12Fisher's z transformation

r	Z	r	Z	r	Z	r	Z	r	z
.00	.000								
.01	.010	.21	.213	.41	.436	.61	.709	.81	1.127
.02	.020	.22	.224	.42	.448	.62	.725	.82	1.157
.03	.030	.23	.234	.43	.460	.63	.741	.83	1.188
.04	.040	.24	.245	.44	.472	.64	.758	.84	1.221
.05	.050	.25	.255	.45	.485	.65	.775	.85	1.256
.06	.060	.26	.266	.46	.497	.66	.793	.86	1.293
.07	.070	.27	.277	.47	.510	.67	.811	.87	1.333
.08	.080	.28	.288	.48	.523	.68	.829	.88	1.376
.09	.090	.29	.299	.49	.536	.69	.848	.89	1.422
.10	.100	.30	.310	.50	.549	.70	.867	.90	1.472
.11	.110	.31	.321	.51	.563	.71	.887	.91	1.528
.12	.121	.32	.332	.52	.576	.72	.908	.92	1.589
.13	.131	.33	.343	.53	.590	.73	.929	.93	1.658
.14	.141	.34	.354	.54	.604	.74	.950	.94	1.738
.15	.151	.35	.365	.55	.618	.75	.973	.95	1.832
.16	.161	.36	.377	.56	.633	.76	.996	.96	1.946
.17	.172	.37	.388	.57	.648	.77	1.020	.97	2.092
.18	.182	.38	.400	.58	.662	.78	1.045	.98	2.298
.19	.192	.39	.412	.59	.678	.79	1.071	.99	2.647
.20	.203	.40	.424	.60	.693	.80	1.099		

interval for correlation Confidence fisher's 7 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_{1} \\ \mathcal{P}_{2} \end{array}\right)$ Transformation (Z_1, Z_2) NOTES $(1) (1 - \alpha) = CI$ for fisher's Z transformation 2 $(\overline{2}, \overline{2})$ $\overline{Z}_1 = \overline{Z} - \frac{\overline{Z}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n-3}}$

$$Z_{2} = Z + \frac{Z_{\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{n-3}}$$

$$\overline{Z} \pm \frac{Z}{\sqrt{n-3}} \quad Z = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1+r)}{(1-r)}$$
(3) for population Correlation

$$Coefficient (P)$$

$$(P_{1}, P_{2})$$

$$P_{1} = \frac{e^{2Z_{1}}}{e^{2Z_{1}}} + 1$$

$$P_{2} = \frac{e^{2Z_{2}} - 1}{e^{2Z_{2}}} + 1$$

(Example) Suppose the Body weights f 100 father (X) and first born son(y) have a Sample Correlation Coefficient $\int v = 0.38$, find 0.95 Confidence for the underlying Correlation? inferval SI $\overline{Z} \pm \overline{Z} = \frac{\overline{Z}}{\sqrt{n-3}}$ Y = 0.38 CI = 0.95 $Z = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1+r)}{(1-r)}$ n = 100 $= \frac{1}{2} * \ln\left(\frac{1+0.38}{1-0.38}\right)$ = 0.4



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}_{1} &= \frac{e^{2Z_{1}} - 1}{e^{2Z_{1}} + 1} \\ &= \frac{e^{2 \times 0.201} - 1}{e^{2 \times 0.201} + 1} = 0.198 \\ \mathcal{J}_{2} &= \frac{e^{2Z_{2}} - 1}{e^{2Z_{2}} + 1} \\ &= \frac{e^{2X_{2}} - 1}{e^{2X_{2}} + 1} \\ &= \frac{e^{2 \times 0.599} - 1}{e^{2 \times 0.599} + 1} = 0.536 \\ &= 1000 + 1000 \\ &= 0.536 \end{split}$$

Example Suppose we want to estimate the Correlation Coefficient between height and weight I Residents in a certain Country. We select a random Sample 3 60 Residents and find the following information: · Sample Size n=60 • Sample Correlation Coefficient v = 0.56find a 95%. Confidence interval for the Correlation? JS' $Z \pm \frac{Z_{\frac{\varphi}{2}}}{\sqrt{n-3}}$ Y = 0.56N = 60



(0.373,0.892) $\overline{(z_1)}$ (\overline{Z}_2) $\Rightarrow \text{ for } \mathcal{O} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \\ 1 \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \\ 2 \end{array} \right)$ $\int_{1}^{0} = \frac{e^{2E_{1}}}{e^{2E_{1}}} + 1$





(0.3568, 0.7126)

Summary for Ch. 11 " Statisfical inference" (), Hy pothesis testing (B) Ho: P= Po A Test stat ∇_{s} . Ho: P=0 $\frac{f}{f} = \frac{r\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}$ $H_1: \mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}_0$ Vs. $H_1 \cdot P \neq 0$ Test stat $\gamma = (\overline{Z} - \overline{Z}_0) \times \sqrt{n-3}$ Confidence interval 2) A for fisher's Ztransformation $Z \pm \frac{Zq}{\sqrt{n-3}}$ B) for $\int \left(\frac{e^{2Z_{1}}}{e^{2Z_{2}}} + 1 + \frac{e^{2Z_{2}}}{e^{2Z_{2}}} + 1\right)$





 $H_0: \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_3$

Vs.

H₁: U₁ ≠ U₂ ≠ U₃ at least one equal



المساهمة مرتج (3) في المجويد 2) : 2,3 M: overall mean Cij: error about mean $\alpha_i = \overline{y}_i - \mathcal{M}$ A Hypothesis testing of Multisample using one way ANOVA modal: $\mathcal{H}_{o}: \mathcal{M}_{i} = \mathcal{M}_{2} = \mathcal{M}_{3} = \dots = \mathcal{M}_{k}$ $\begin{pmatrix} v_s \\ H_1 : \mathcal{H}_1 \neq \mathcal{H}_2 \neq \mathcal{H}_3 \neq \dots \end{pmatrix}_k$

NOTE we accept the only if all

the I are equal, if one of the Il differ, we will accept H, $d_{i} = 0$ V_{s} . $H_{i} : \alpha_{i} \neq 0$ $\lambda_{i} = 0$ V_{s} . $H_{i} : \alpha_{i} \neq 0$ at least one

Eest stat

$$F = \frac{MS_B}{MS_w}$$

$$MS_{\underline{B}} : Mean Square between = \frac{SSB}{K-1} K: groups SS_{\underline{B}} = \sum n; (\overline{y};)^{2} - \frac{(\Sigma n; \overline{y};)^{2}}{N}$$

 $MS_{\omega} = \frac{SS_{\omega}}{N-k}$

N: total Sample Size

$$SS = S(n_i - 1)S_i^2$$

NOTE Degree of freedom

$$\Rightarrow$$
 for MS_B = k - 1 ('e'')
 \Rightarrow for MS_w = N - k ('p'')

Examp	e) (j)			
		(2)	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right)$	
	l	2	2	
ÿ 2.67 2	·67 3 2	Ч	3	
	5	2	U	
S	4.33	1.33		

Test whether the mean differ Significantly among 3 groups? (use a = 0.05) $\mathcal{H}_{0}:\mathcal{H}_{1}=\mathcal{H}_{2}=\mathcal{H}_{3}$ $H_o: \alpha_i = O(AII)$ \sqrt{s} . V_{s} . $H_1: \mathcal{H}_1 \neq \mathcal{H}_2 \neq \mathcal{H}_3$ $H_i: \alpha_i \pm o(At i east)$ test stat $F = \frac{MSB}{MSW}$ \Rightarrow MSB = $\frac{SSB}{|k-l|} = \frac{0.22}{3-l} = 0.11$ $SS_{B} = \sum n_{i}(\bar{y}_{i})^{2} - \frac{\left(\sum n_{i} \bar{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum n_{i}}$ $= 69.7734 - \frac{(25.02)^2}{9} = 0.22$

 $\sum n_i(\bar{y}_i)^2$

 $3 \times (2.67)^{2} + 3 \times (2.67)^{2} + 3 \times (3)^{2}$

= 69.7734 En: ÿi

3 × 2.67 + 3 × 2.67 + 3 × 3 = 25.02

= MS_w = $\frac{SS_w}{N^2 - 14} = \frac{13.32}{9-3} = 2.22$

HINT $SS_{\omega} = \sum (n_i - 1) S_i^2$ $\int_{-\infty}^{2} = \frac{\sum x^{2}}{n-1} - \frac{\left(\sum x\right)^{2}}{n(n-1)}$

 $= (3-1) U \cdot 33 + (3-1) * [-33 + (3-1) *]$ $= 13 \cdot 32$

$$F = \frac{MSB}{MSw}$$

$$= \frac{0.11}{2.22} = 0.0495$$

$$D \cdot f = k - 1$$

$$D \cdot f_{n} = k - 1$$

$$D \cdot f_{d} = N - k$$

$$O \cdot q = 0$$

$$O \cdot q =$$

(Example) suppose we	want to	> Know
whether or not three	e differen	f Exam
Prep programs lead to	different	mean
Scores on a Certain	exam. To	3 test
this, we recruite 30	> Students	; to
participate in a Stud	ly and	split
them into three group	s, shown	with
students marks after	3 weeks	J prep

Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
85	91	79
86	92	78
88	93	88
75	85	94
78	87	92
94	84	85
98	82	83
79	88	85
71	95	82
80	96	81

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Ρ
between	192.2	2	96.1	2.358	0.11385
Within	1100.6	27	40.8		
Total	1292.8	29			

 $f(k \cdot 1)(m \times 1) = f(2)(2\eta)$ $h \times d \times 1$ 2.14×10^{-1}

 $H_0: \varphi_i = 0$ V_{s} , H_{i} : $Q_{i} \neq 0$ $H_o: p_1, = p_2 = p_3$ $\forall s. \quad H_1: \quad \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \mu_3$ test stat $\frac{96.1}{40.8} = 2.35$ $F = \frac{MSB}{MS\omega}$ $D.f_{n} = 2$ $D.f_{n} = 27$ 0.05 1/1/11 3.32D.f= K-1 D.f = N-k& we accept the and Reject to reject, There is insufficient =) fail to say that there is a evidence

Statitically Significant difference between the mean exam scoves of three groups (Example) The times required by three Surgeons to perform appendectomy were Recorded on five randomly selected Occasions, Here are the times, to the Calid Lay neavest minute. M 15 th 3 Fundaries = 1.81 Maher Haider Tareg Toreg Test if the 8 8 10 9 totolf mean time Recorded for each Surgery 100. I CV 0 9 10 f: Sto is different between 11 Surgeons June 9 9 9] [8 0 0

Source		df	SS	MS = SS/df	F-statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Treatments	B	2	2.8	1.4	1.5556	<i>p</i> -value > 0.10
Error 🕡		12	10.8	0.9		1
Total		14	13.6	_		

 $H_{0}: \mathcal{U}_{1} = \mathcal{U}_{2} = \mathcal{U}_{3} \quad \forall s. \quad H_{1}: \mathcal{U}_{1} \neq \mathcal{U}_{2} \neq \mathcal{U}_{3}$ $H_{0}: \mathcal{U}_{1} = \mathcal{U}_{2} = \mathcal{U}_{3} \quad \forall s. \quad H_{1}: \mathcal{U}_{1} \neq \mathcal{U}_{2} \neq \mathcal{U}_{3}$

$$F = \frac{MSB}{MS\omega} = \frac{1.4}{0.9} = 1.55$$

$$D.f_n = k-1$$

 $D.f_n = N-k$



so we accept Ho and reject Hi

Exo	ample)	Fill in	the	missing	entries	J
the	Partia	ally C	omplefi	el one	-way A	Nova
B v	Source Treatments Error Total	df 20 23	55 2.124 18.880 21.004	MS = SS/df 0.708 <u>0.944</u>	F-statistic 0.75	
	M	S _B = -	SS B J.f	Мд. 0.3°	- 77 77 17 19- 2- 12)
	0.7	08 = .	2.12 d.f	<u>ч</u>	X X 2 7	
	d.f.:	<u> </u>	24	= (3)	Msu Mj~	D.942 76
	9 F =	MS.	<u>B</u> N	(), ?]	D. 340 X 2 0.945	.:K=(+M
	0.H=	<u>o.70</u> MS	<u>8</u>	=> M?	$S_w = 0$.9UU

$$= MS_{w} = \frac{SS_{w}}{N-k}$$

$$0.944 = \frac{SS_{w}}{20} = SS_{w} = 18.880$$

Example Test whether the mean
$$FEF$$
 scores differ Significantly among the six groups in the Following table (use $\alpha = 0.05$)

TABLE 12.1

FEF data for smoking and nonsmoking males

Group number, <i>i</i>	Group name	Mean FEF (L/s)	sd FEF (L/s)	n _i
1	NS	3.78	0.79	200
2	PS	3.30	0.77	200
З	NI	3.32	0.86	50
4	LS	3.23	0.78	200
5	MS	2.73	0.81	200
6	HS	2.59	0.82	200

 $H_0: \mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{U}_6$ $N_{14r} = \frac{1}{Nrk^{2}} \left(h_{1} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{3^{2}}{6} = 3 \left(2\omega - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{3^{2}}{6} \frac{1}{6} \frac{3}{6} + \frac{3}{6} \frac{3}{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ (2) 5.00 Lf (2 or for 2 2 or for Jein $H_1: M_1 \neq M_2 \neq M_3 = - - - \neq M_6$ Mili- 663. 87 test stat hrk MA 663.02 $F = \frac{MS_B}{MS_w}$ 102-6 F: The? $\Rightarrow MS_B = \frac{SSB}{k-1} = \frac{184.38}{5} = 36.875$ $SS_{B} = \sum n_{i} (\bar{y}_{i})^{2} - \frac{(\sum n_{i} \bar{y}_{i})^{2}}{N}$ $\sum n_i (\overline{y}_i)^2$ $200 * (3.78)^{2} + 200 * (3.36)^{2} + 50 * (3.32)^{2}$

 $+260 \times (3.23)^{2} + 200 \times (2.73)^{2} + 200 \times (2.59)^{2}$

= 10505.58

 $\sum n_i \overline{y}_i$

 $200 \times 3.78 + 200 \times 3.30 + 50 \times 3.32$ + 260 × 3.23 + 200 × 2.73 + 200 × 2.59 = 3292

 $SS_B = 10505.8S - \frac{(3292)^2}{1050}$

= 184.38

 $= MS_{w} = \frac{SS_{w}}{N-k} = \frac{663.87}{1000} = 0.636$

 $SS_{W} = \sum (n_{i} - 1) S_{i}^{2}$

 $(200 - 1) * 0.79^{2} + 199 * 0.77^{2} + 49 * 0.86^{2}$ + 199 * 0.78² + 199 * 0.81² + 199 * 0.82² = 663.87


TABLE 12.3 ANOVA table for FEF data in Table 12.1

	SS	df	MS	F statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Between Within	184.38	5	36.875	58.0	ρ < .001
Total	848.25	1044	0.000		

General NOTES About ANOVA: $(\mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{y}_{ij} - \mathbf{y}_{ij} = (\mathbf{y}_{ij} - \mathbf{y}_{i}) + (\mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{y}_{i})$ $\sum \left(y_{i\overline{j}} - \overline{y} \right)^2 = \sum \left(y_{i\overline{j}} - \overline{y}_i \right)^2 + \sum \left(\overline{y}_i - \overline{y} \right)^2$ SS. $SS_T = SS_w + SS_B$

* Least Significant difference Test (LSD) =) used to see which means are not Significantly equal the Rest J the means. > Full -> Reject Ho and accept Hi $H_0: \mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2 \qquad \forall s. \quad H_1: \mathcal{M}_1 \neq \mathcal{H}_2$ Test stat Tribut I fit $T = \left(\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_2 \right) - 0$ $\left| SP = MS_{\omega} \right|$ $\int SP^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n^2 + m^2} - \frac{1}{n^2} \right)$

(Example) Smoking in Book: V_{5} $H_1: \mathcal{U}_1 \neq \mathcal{H}_2$ $\mathcal{H}_{0}: \mathcal{H}_{1} = \mathcal{H}_{2}$ Test stat (3.78-3.30) $T = \frac{\left(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2\right) - 0}{1 - 1}$ $\int 0.636 \left(\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{200} \right)$ $\int SP^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \right)$ = 6.02 $Sp^{z} = MS_{u}$ d.f=louu 0.025 so we Reject 0.975 C 1111 1111 Ho and accept H, - 1.96 1.96

 $SO, M, \neq M_{3} \in$ A Ho: $\mu_1 = \mu_3$ Vs. $H_1: \mathcal{H}_1 \neq \mathcal{H}_3$ Test stat $T = (\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_3) - 0$ $\sqrt{SP^2}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)$ $= \frac{(3.78 - 3.32)}{(3.636 + (\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{50}))}$ = 3.65 d.f= 1044 So we Reject 0.025 0.975 C 1111 Ho and 1111 accept H, 1.96 - 1.96

 $SO, Moz = M_3$ $H_0: M_2 = M_3 V_s. H_1: M_2 \neq M_3$ Test stat $T = \frac{(\tilde{y}_2 - \tilde{y}_3)}{\sqrt{Sp^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})}} = \frac{(3.3 - 3.32)}{\sqrt{0.636(\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{50})}}$ = -0.16 d.f=louu a we accept 0.025 Ho and Reject 1111 0.975 C 1111 F), - 1.96 1.96

 $SO_1 M_2 = M_3 M_4$

 $H_0: \mu_2 = \mu_4 \quad \forall s. \quad H_1: \mu_2 \neq \mu_4$

Test stat $T = \frac{(\tilde{y}_2 - \tilde{y}_4)}{\sqrt{Sp^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})}} = \frac{(3.3 - 3.23)}{\sqrt{0.636(\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{200})}}$

0. 88

So we accept Ho and Reject H₁ H_1 $SO_1, M_2 = M_4$ $d.f=10u^{1}$ $d.f=10u^{1}$ $d.f=10u^{1}$ $d.f=10u^{1}$ $d.g_5$ 1111 - 1.961.96

Groups		
ompared	Test statistic	<i>p</i> -value
NS, PS	$t = \frac{3.78 - 3.30}{\sqrt{0.636 \left(\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{200}\right)}} = \frac{0.48}{0.08} = 6.02^{\circ}$	< .001
NS, NI	$t = \frac{3.78 - 3.32}{\sqrt{0.636 \left(\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{50}\right)}} = \frac{0.46}{0.126} = 3.65$	< .001
NS, LS	$t = \frac{3.78 - 3.23}{\sqrt{0.636 \left(\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{200}\right)}} = \frac{0.55}{0.08} = 6.90$	< .001
NS, MS	$t = \frac{3.78 - 2.73}{0.080} = \frac{1.05}{0.08} = 13.17$	< .001
NS, HS	$t = \frac{3.78 - 2.59}{0.080} = \frac{1.19}{0.08} = 14.92$	< .001
PS, NI	$t = \frac{3.30 - 3.32}{0.126} = \frac{-0.02}{0.126} = -0.16$	0.87
PS, LS	$t = \frac{3.30 - 3.23}{0.080} = \frac{0.07}{0.08} = 0.88$	0.38
PS, MS	$t = \frac{3.30 - 2.73}{0.080} = \frac{0.57}{0.08} = 7.15$	< .001
PS, HS	$t = \frac{3.30 - 2.59}{0.080} = \frac{0.71}{0.08} = 8.90$	< .001
NI, LS	$t = \frac{3.32 - 3.23}{0.126} = \frac{0.09}{0.126} = 0.71$	0.48
NI, MS	$t = \frac{3.32 - 2.73}{0.126} = \frac{0.59}{0.126} = 4.68$	< .001
NI, HS	$t = \frac{3.32 - 2.59}{0.126} = \frac{0.73}{0.126} = 5.79$	< .001
LS, MS	$t = \frac{3.23 - 2.73}{0.08} = \frac{0.50}{0.08} = 6.27$	< .001
LS, HS	$t = \frac{3.23 - 2.59}{0.08} = \frac{0.64}{0.08} = 8.03$	< .001
MS, HS	$t = \frac{2.73 - 2.59}{0.08} = \frac{0.14}{0.08} = 1.76$	0.08

TABLE 12.4Comparisons of specific pairs of groups for the FEF data in Table 12.1 (on page 552)
using the LSD t test approach

*All test statistics follow a t_{1044} distribution under H_0 .

الأحص مج مساويس

NOTES (accept Ho) =) P-value > a P- Jalue < X (Reject Ho) $\rightarrow MS_{\omega} = MS_{E}$